

**(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 Pandemic)**

CRWP-5685-2020

Date of Decision: 07.08.2020

BALJEET KAUR

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Gurbir Singh Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

At the oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala is impleaded as a party/respondent No.7 in the petition.

It has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that respondents No. 4 to 6 had inflicted injuries on the person of the petitioner. She was admitted in hospital and was medico-legally examined. He further contends that the petitioner has submitted a representation to respondent No.3 but no action has been initiated. The petitioner is still apprehending threat to her life and liberty at the instance of respondents No. 4 to 6. He further asserts that a representation dated 03.08.2020 contained at Annexure P-5 has already been submitted to Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

Without making any comment on the genuineness of allegations, as put forth in the representation dated 03.08.2020, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to look into the grievance of the petitioner, in accordance with law.

07.08.2020

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No