

CRWP-5692-2020

Date of decision: 07.08.2020

RUBY AND ANR.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Karandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 Pandemic)*

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners had relied upon the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of **Nandakumar and another Versus State of Kerala and others, 2018 AIR (SC) 2254** and judgment of this Court in **CWP No. 31834 of 2019 titled as Megha and another Versus State of Haryana and others, decided on 04.11.2019.**

It has been stated that petitioner No.1 has attained the age of valid marriage. However, it has been stated that though petitioner No.2 has not attained the age of valid marriage but he is major. The marriage of the petitioners has been solemnized on 04.08.2020.

Without dilating further, suffice is to say that the Court is primarily concerned with the petitioners' right to protect their lives and liberty against any perceived threat at the instance of third party as such right has been guaranteed to them as per Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

It has been pointed out that a representation dated 04.08.2020 (Annexure P-5) has already been submitted to respondent No.2.

Without making any further observations on the merits or legality and validity, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners as projected through a representation dated 04.08.2020 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law and furthermore, take remedial action if the apprehension of danger is real and genuine one.

This order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or legality and validity of any civil or criminal proceedings.

07.08.2020
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No