

CRM-M-22020-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22020-2020

Date of decision: 24.11.2020

Nasib Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Ms. Deepa Kumari, Advocate for
Mr. Surjit Singh Swaich, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

As per the office report, notices issued to respondents No.8 and 10 have been received back with the report that they are confined in jail, whereas notice of respondent No.11 has been received back with the report that he is not residing at the given address. It is also reported that respondent No.9 has been served through his brother.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to the official respondents to hold an independent, fair and impartial investigation in case bearing FIR No.99 dated 20.06.2020, registered at Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran, under Sections 302, 506, 148 and 149 IPC.

Today, copy of status report by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Goindwal Sahib, District Tarn

CRM-M-22020-2020

Taran, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5, sent through e-mail, is taken on record.

Copies of status report by way of affidavit of Sub Inspector/SHO, Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran, on behalf of respondent No.6, and short reply by way of affidavit of ASI, Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran, on behalf of respondent No.7, sent through e-mail, are also taken on record.

At the outset, learned State counsel submits that out of six accused, four have been arrested and the proclamation proceedings have been initiated against two accused, who are still at large, and the case before the concerned court is fixed for 25.11.2020.

This Court is satisfied with the status report/reply filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 7 and also finds that there is no need to issue fresh notices to the unserved respondents at this stage.

Keeping in view the status report filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 7 and the submissions made by the learned State counsel, this Court finds that no further orders are called for in the present petition.

Disposed of.

24.11.2020

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No