

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

**CRM-M-No.22042 of 2020
Date of Decision:18.12.2020**

JASPREET SINGH MANKOO

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K.Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sarabjeet Singh Cheema, Asstt.A.G.Punjab.

Mr.Inderjeet Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr.Anil Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.125 dated 24.06.2019 under Sections 406 and 420 IPC a registered at Police Station Goraya District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the complainants are ad idem that the parties have entered into amicable resolution of the dispute. The claim of the complainant party has been settled and the amount has been

paid to them.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 states that the compromise has been effected to the entire satisfaction of respondents No.2 and 3 and they have no objection in case anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner.

On 03.11.2020, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for respondent No.4 stated before the Court that the efforts would be made to honour the compromise.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 also admits the factum of compromise.

In view of above, this petition is allowed. Petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 22.12.2020 and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the Investigating Officer as and when required;
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from

disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country, without
prior permission of the Court and shall surrender
his passport, if any.

December 18, 2020

anita

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE