

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5768-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.08.2020

Priyanka and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Sutikshan Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

CRM-W-690-2020

Allowed as prayed for.

CRWP-5768-2020

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have married against the wishes of respondents No. 4 and 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No. 4 and 5. The marriage photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexure P-3. Petitioner No. 1 has submitted a representation dated 01.08.2020 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No. 2.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3- State only.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that as per the petition, the age of petitioner No. 2 is not marriageable.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with directions to respondent No. 2 to decide the representation of petitioner No. 1 (Annexure P-4) and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

11.08.2020
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No