

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CRWP-5650-2020

Date of decision: 07.08.2020

Poonam and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Vikram Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Petitioners-Poonam and Shivam, stated to be aged 23 & 22 years respectively, have jointly filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 8 and not to interfere in their married life.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that in this regard, a detailed representation dated 31.07.2020 (Annexure P-4) was submitted to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat (respondent No.2).

Notice of motion to official respondents only, at this stage.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana, who has joined proceedings

on service of advance copy of the petition, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the State.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

While refraining from making any expression as regards the veracity of the averments made in the petition, the petition is **disposed of** with a direction that in case, the petitioners approach the police authorities concerned, with above representation (Annexure P-5) with regard to their alleged threat perception, the same shall be got examined by the Superintendent of Police, Panipat, District Panipat (respondent No.2) expeditiously, in accordance with law and necessary steps as may be warranted under the facts and circumstances of the case, be taken thereupon forthwith.

A copy of this order be sent to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat, District Panipat (respondent No.2), so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

Needless to say that the aforesaid order shall not be construed to be an expression as regards the validity of the alleged marriage of the parties.

Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

07.08.2020
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No