

213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.11632 of 2020
Date of Decision: 10.12.2020

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

Bidhi Chand

..... Petitioner

Versus

The Financial Commissioner, Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 and 2-State.

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

DAYA CHAUDHARY J. (ORAL)

In view of the preliminary objection raised in the reply to the effect that this writ petition has been filed whereas the application for restoration of revision petition has not been adjudicated so far, it is explicit that the present petition is premature.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as premature.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

December 10, 2020

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No