

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-(PIL)-95-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 10.08.2020

Ranjit Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Vikram Punia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India,
with Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate,
and Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate,
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Mayank Sharma, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 and 5.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Toor, Advocate,
for respondent No. 6.

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is being taken up and heard via video conferencing (Webex App software).

We are informed that the issue raised by the petitioner in the present public interest litigation is already drawing the attention of the Supreme Court in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 13029/1985 titled as *M.C. Mehta Vs Union of India and others*. And the said petition is due to come up today before the Supreme Court.

In the circumstances, as the matter has already been taken cognizance of by the Supreme Court, we do not find any reason to take up

or entertain this petition, at this stage, which is accordingly disposed of. The petitioner may, if so advised, join the proceedings before the Supreme Court.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

10.08.2020
Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No