

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Criminal Misc. No. M-21897 of 2020

Date of Decision: 07.08.2020

Rajinder Singh alias Jinder

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr.Santosh Sharma , Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. V.G.Jauhar, Senior Deputy Advocate
General, Punjab for the respondent.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

The present petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner pending trial in a criminal case arising from FIR No. 192 dated 28.07.2018, registered under Section 21 of the Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Patran, District Patiala.

The first application for grant of regular bail was dismissed by this Court on 17.12.2019 with the following order:-

“The petitioner prays for grant of regular bail pending trial in a criminal case arising from FIR No.192 dated 28.07.2018 registered under Section 21 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (hereinafter to be referred as “the Act of 1985”) at Police Station Patran, District Patiala.

found in conscious possession of 1 Kg 30 grams of heroin which is much above the commercial quantity.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that SHO of the Police Station is inimical and, therefore, the petitioner has been falsely implicated.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Amarjeet Singh, has submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender having three more criminal cases under the Act of 1985 apart from this one.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court is not inclined to grant concession of bail in view of bar as provided in Section 37 of the Act of 1985. Hence, the present petition is dismissed. However, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody, learned Special Court/trial Judge is requested to make a sincere endeavour and conclude the trial of the case expeditiously”.

Although, the learned counsel for the petitioner made a sincere attempt, however, he failed to draw attention of the Court to change in any circumstances.

Hence, the present petition is dismissed.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

August 07, 2020
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No