

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21838 of 2020.

Decided on:- August 14, 2020.

Monu @ Mahesh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Jay Prakash Jangu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, A.A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in FIR No.264 dated 17.10.2019 under Section 379-A IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Narnaul, District Mahendergarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the order dated 03.06.2020 passed by this Court in **CRM-M-12719 of 2020** titled as **Praveen @ Bachacha Versus State of Haryana** whereby co-accused Praveen @ Bachacha was admitted on bail.

He has further contended that the petitioner is in custody since 18.05.2020. There is no other case against him except the present one and the matter has been compromised between complainant Babu Lal and main accused Praveen @ Bachacha on 11.05.2020 and complainant Babu Lal has furnished an affidavit in support of accused Praveen @ Bachacha, whereby

the complainant has affirmed that accused Praveen @ Bachacha was wrongly arrested and he was not present when the alleged occurrence had taken place.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Yogesh, does not dispute the custody and the fact that co-accused Praveen @ Bachacha has been admitted on bail by this Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since there is no other case against the petitioner and it is co-accused Praveen @ Bachacha, who had named the petitioner coupled with the fact that the matter has been compromised between the complainant and main accused Praveen @ Bachacha, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Needless to assert that this order or any observation made hereinabove shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

August 14, 2020
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No