

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.212

**CRM-M-21806-2020
Date of decision : 30.9.2020**

Harmanpreet Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.110 dated 30.6.2020, registered at Police Station Sadar Batala, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur, under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to order dated 6.8.2020, petitioner has joined the investigation and has been cooperating therewith. This is not disputed by the learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI-Jaspal Singh.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 argues that gold ornaments given at the time of marriage, have still to be recovered.

The parties have been residing in New Zealand for the last about 05 years. The girl was already in New Zealand when the marriage took place and she had come to India only for solemnization thereof. Thus, it is unlikely that any gold ornaments given at the time of marriage would still be in the possession of in-laws. In any case, criminal cases are not recovery proceedings.

The petitioner has joined the investigation and has been

cooperating therewith.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 6.8.2020, is made absolute subject to compliance of conditions enshrined under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 by him.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

30.9.2020
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes/ No