

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.21187 of 2019
DATE OF DECISION:17.02.2020**

Rajveer Raje Ana @ Raj Raje Aa

...Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Param Preet Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Surjit Singh.

LALIT BATRA, J. (Oral)

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of regular bail to petitioner-**Rajveer Raje Ana @ Raj Raje Aa** in case FIR No.210 dated 26.09.2018 under Sections 307, 324, 420, 427, 465, 467, 468 and 471 IPC, Sections 3 and 4 Explosive Substances Act and Section 65 of I.T. Act registered at Police Station City South, Moga.

Short reply by way of affidavit of Amarjeet Singh Bajwa, PPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, District Moga on behalf of respondent-State, filed in Court, which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner is in custody since 02.10.2018 and he is no more required by the Police for any investigation purpose. He further submits that after completion of investigation, challan has been presented in the Court and

trial has already commenced. He further urges that since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 02.10.2018; that challan has been presented against the petitioner in the Court; that trial has already commenced and since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by petitioner-**Rajveer Raje Ana @ Raj Raje Aa** is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Moga, as the case may be.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

17.02.2020
rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No