

107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 Pandemic)

SMRITI
2020.08.07 14:07
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRWP-5690-2020

Date of Decision: 07.08.2020

KARAMJIT SINGH AND ANOTHER ... PETITIONERS
VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS .. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Puneet K Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

It has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner No.1 was earlier married and he has filed a petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act. Petitioner No.2 was also married earlier and she is about to file a petition under Section 12 of Hindu Marriage Act for dissolution her marriage to be null and void, as her earlier husband was also married.

The petitioners are stated to be in live-in-relationship and they are apprehending danger to their life and liberty at the instance of respondents No. 4 to 6. A representation dated 03.08.2020 (Annexure P-3) has already been submitted to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent(s)-State.

Without making any further observations with regard to the genuineness of the allegations, legality and validity of the relationship between the parties, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No. 2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners as projected in the representation dated 03.08.2020 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law.

07.08.2020
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No