

SUKHWINDER KAUR AND ANR.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. S.S.Sahu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 Pandemic)*

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners is to the effect that the marriage of petitioner No.1 was solemnized with respondent No.6 in the year 2014. The matrimonial dispute has cropped-up between the parties. She has been turned out of the matrimonial house. The petitioners are in live-in relationship, and they are apprehending danger to their lives and liberty at the instance of respondents No.5 to 35. A representation dated 20.07.2020 (Annexure P-6) has already been submitted to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion to official respondents.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Copy of the paper-book be supplied to her during the course of the day through email.

Without making any further observations with regard to the genuineness of the allegations, legality and validity of the relationship between the parties, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No. 2 to

look into the grievance of the petitioners as projected through the representation dated 20.07.2020 (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law.

06.08.2020
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No