

ANJU AND ANR.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURIPresent: Mr. Divjyot Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 Pandemic)*VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners that they have solemnized marriage on 30.07.2020 against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6. It was first marriage of petitioner No.1 whereas the earlier marriage of petitioner No.2 has been dissolved by means of Panchayati divorce. The petitioners are apprehending danger to their lives and liberty at the instance of respondents No.4 to 6 and they have already submitted a representation dated 31.07.2020 (Annexure P-5).

Without dilating on the legality and validity of the relationship, suffice is to say that the Court is primarily concerned with the petitioners' right to protect their lives and liberty against any perceived threat at the instance of third party as such right has been guaranteed to them as per Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

Notice of motion to official respondents.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of

respondent-State. Copy of the paper-book be supplied to him during the course

of the day through email.

Without making any further observations on the merits or legality and validity of the relationship, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners as projected through a representation dated 31.07.2020 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law.

This order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the legality and validity of the relationship between the parties or any civil or criminal proceedings.

06.08.2020
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No