

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-21727-2020 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 29.10.2020

JUGRAJ SINGH

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Manjinder Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.0165 dated 21.06.2020, under Sections 307/188 IPC, 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1959, Section 51 of the Disaster Management Act, 2005 and Section 3 of the Epidemic Disease Act, 1897, registered at Police Station Rama Mandi, District Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar, Punjab.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is a case of no injury. He further states that it is alleged in the FIR that the petitioner had fired shots in the air but they did not hit anyone. He, however, contends that it is stated in the FIR that one person, who had come in a swift car was blowing his hooter and was trying to park his car on the side of the road, had started abusing the complainant. The complainant reported the matter to his cousins and thereafter, they started following the swift car. The swift car was parked outside the house of Anil Kumar and when they knocked the door of the house and asked about the driver of the car, the petitioner went upstairs of the house

of Anil Kumar and started firing shots at them but the shots did not hit anyone. He also contends that the petitioner was residing in the house of the Anil Kumar. The petitioner is 28 years old age and is not involved in any other case. The petitioner is in custody for over 4 months.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Ravinder Singh, contends that challan has been filed but the charges are yet to be framed.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when it is a case of no injury, the petitioner is in custody for over 4 months, the petitioner is not involved in any other case, the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

29.10.2020.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No