

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 21674 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.08.2020

Parveen Kaur

-Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

-Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Deepender Brar, Advocate,
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through
video-conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case
bearing FIR No.127 dated 14.07.2020 registered under Sections
307, 302, 324, 34 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar
Ferozepur, District Ferozepur.

Allegations are that the petitioner raised lalkara for
catching hold of Sona for stopping them from cutting fodder crop
from Gaushala. Petitioner exhorted co-accused namely Paramjit

@ Pamma, Veena and Sheeli and upon her provocation, co-accused Paramjit @ Pamma gave a blow to the brother of complainant. Prem died in the hospital on 15.07.2020. Petitioner has not been attributed any injury on the person of injured or deceased, except raising of lalkara. There is no allegation in terms of Section 120-B IPC.

Learned State counsel duly assisted by learned counsel for the complainant states that the role of the petitioner in terms of lalkara is to be seen by the prosecution. Petitioner has been described as Veena instead of Parveen Kaur in the FIR, but name of her husband is correctly mentioned.

Petitioner is a lady. Since, only lalkara is attributed to the petitioner, therefore, I deem it appropriate to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The petition is allowed. Petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 18.08.2020 and in the event of her arrest, she shall be released on anticipatory bail on her furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make herself available for interrogation before the Investigating Officer as and when required;

- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country, without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender her passport, if any.

August 14, 2020
Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes/No