

CRWP-5596-2020

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5596-2020

Date of Decision: September 28th, 2020

Ramandeep Kaur & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. HARMINDER SINGH MADAAN

Present Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

HARMINDER SINGH MADAAN, J. (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Written reply has been submitted on behalf of the official respondents. It has been contended therein that ASI Manjeet Singh, Police Station Sadar Patiala, had conducted enquiry in the matter and recorded joint statements of the petitioners as well as private respondent No.4 – Nirmal Singh, father of petitioner No.1, duly corroborated by respondent No.5 – Baljinder Kaur, mother of petitioner No.1. Parents of petitioner No.1 have stated that they have no objection to the marriage held between the petitioners. Petitioner No.1, in her statement, has stated that she is residing with her husband in her matrimonial home and she has no danger from anybody. Petitioners have stated that they do not require any police protection in their security. Copies of the statements have been attached

with the written reply.

In view of the reply filed by official respondents and statements of the petitioners and parents of petitioner No.1, the present petition has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case the petitioners get any apprehension of threat to their lives at the hands of private respondents, at any time later on, they may approach the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala – respondent No.2, who may then take appropriate action, so warranted by the facts and circumstance, in accordance with law.

September 28th, 2020
Nisha

(H.S. Madaan)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No