

272

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20977-2019
Date of Decision: 20.02.2020**

Vinod Kumar Sharma @ Bittu and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Narinder S. Lucky, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.265, dated 21.12.2017, under Sections 341, 323, 506, 148 & 149 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station City Phagwara, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phagwara, vide report dated 24.10.2019, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between

the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No.4 is represented by his Counsel, who does not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phagwara, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.265, dated 21.12.2017, under Sections 341, 323, 506, 148 & 149 of the IPC, registered at Police Station City Phagwara, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

20.02.2020

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

2. Whether reportable: No