

**(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing on account of
Covid-19 Pandemic)**

CRM-M-21617-2020

Date of Decision: 15.09.2020

MANJINDER SINGH

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr.Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S.Multani, AAG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

On 05.08.2020, the following order was passed:-

“Briefly, the allegations in the FIR No.30 dated 19.03.2020, registered under Sections 451/323/354/34 IPC of 1860 at Police Station Sadar Kot-Kapura, District Faridkot are to the effect that on 27.02.2020 at about 2:00 pm the petitioner alongwith unknown person came to the shop of Malkeet Singh-complainant in a car. The co-accused namely, Kuldeep Singh abused the complainant, caught hold his neck and asked him to teach lesson for entering into quarrel with him. The unknown person gave kick blows to him. Ramandeep Kaur, the sister of the complainant tried to intervene and the co-accused pulled her dupatta in order to insult her and thereafter, putting the same in her neck and dragged her. Amarjit Kaur, the mother of the complainant tried to intervene and the co-accused Singh pushed her. Thereafter, father of the complainant came from outside and the co-accused gave kick blows and pulled his beard. On hearing the alarm, Jagsir Singh and Balwinder Singh were attracted at the spot and the assailants slipped away from the spot.

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that there is a matrimonial dispute between the complainant and his wife. The name of the petitioner does not find mentioned in the FIR. Furthermore, no weapon was used in the instant case and even there no MLR to indicate any injury on the person of the complainant and others. Besides, the co-accused namely, Kuldeep Singh has been granted interim bail by this Court.

Notice of motion for 15.09.2020.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Copy of the paper-book be supplied to him during the course of the day through email.

Meanwhile, it is directed that in the event of arrest, the petitioner be admitted on interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer subject to the condition that he join the investigation and comply with other conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

It has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that in pursuance of order dated 05.08.2020 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is no more required for custodial interrogation. He further contends that co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Kuldeep Singh has already been granted pre-arrest bail vide order dated 24.06.2020 passed in CRM-M-16335-2020.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sikandar Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact(s) and further states that custodial interrogation of petitioner is not required.

In view of the above, the order dated 05.08.2020, vide which the petitioner was granted interim bail, is made absolute.

The petition stands disposed of.

15.09.2020
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No