

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.107

**CRWP-5556-2020
Date of decision:-5.8.2020**

Naresh Kumar

..Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. A.P.S Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate, for respondents No.5, 6, 9 to 11.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner alleges that he is estranged from his wife, namely, Sheela, since many years. Subsequently, he came into contact with one Sumitra and they started living together. On account of this live-in-relationship, the petitioner is being threatened by his parents, his wife and his in-laws. The wife has also threatened to falsely implicate him in a criminal case and has threatened to commit suicide. Representation dated 1.6.2020, annexure P-3, has been made in this regard, but the police has not taken any appropriate action.

I have gone through representation dated 1.6.2020. It has been stated therein that the petitioner is getting threats to his life on phone and in person. His wife has threatened to commit suicide by consuming poison and a video recording regarding the same is in his possession. The wife of the petitioner has also asked her father and brother to falsely implicate the petitioner in some criminal case.

So far as, threats by the wife of the petitioner to commit suicide, are concerned, the petitioner need not fear involvement in a criminal

case as he is already in possession of a video of the wife, wherein, the threats to commit suicide have been recorded. Representation dated 1.6.2020, is in itself sufficient evidence to show that the police was informed well in advance about the threats. Regarding false implication in a criminal case, there is nothing on record to suggest that the parents of the wife of the petitioner are in a position to do so. Neither are they in the police, nor any averments in the petition are made regarding them having good connection with the local police. Blanket protection is not conceived in law as even if, the petitioner commits a crime, he can seek cover of the orders of the High Court and try to avoid liability. Allegations of threat to life by the parents, wife and parents-in-law, are not believable.

For the aforementioned reasons, I find no ground to pass any order in favour of the petitioner.

The petition is accordingly, dismissed.

5.8.2020

Ramandeep Singh

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No