

205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21709 of 2020
Date of Decision : 17.09.2020

Puneet Goel and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. J.S. Bedi, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sonpreet Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Safia Gupta, A.A.G, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as the complainant is yet to be examined, he does not press this petition at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the non-examination of the witnesses, especially, the complainant is causing prejudice to the petitioners as the petitioners have already undergone 1/3rd of the maximum sentence, which could be awarded to them keeping in view the allegations alleged in the FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that an appropriate direction be issued to the trial Court to examine the complainant as next witness so that the petitioners can pray for the concession of bail after the

examination of the complainant .

In the present situation of pandemic of Covid-19, the Court working is restricted. The Courts below are discharging their duties with the best of their abilities.

Keeping in view the above, direction is issued to the trial Court that the complainant be examined as next witness as expeditiously as possible keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of this case.

September 17, 2020
aarti/naresh.k

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No