

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-5535-2020
Date of Decision : 04.08.2020**

Chavi and another
Versus
..... Petitioners

State of Haryana and others
..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present : Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate
for Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to the outbreak of Pandemic COVID-19.

By way of the present petition, the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect their life and personal liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondent No.4 because they have solemnized their marriage against his wishes.

Notice of motion to respondents Nos.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana, at the asking of the Court, has accepted notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. He has also sent the copy of the statement of respondent No.4 Sh. Rakesh, (who is stated to be the father of petitioner No.1) as

recorded in the case registered vide FIR No.531 dated 29.07.2020, under Section 346 IPC, at Police Station Sadar Sonapat, and attested by Sub Inspector Krishan, P.P. Old City, Panipat, through whatsapp which has been placed on the file.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners wants to withdraw the present petition on account of its having become infructuous in view of the contents of the afore-recorded statement of respondent No.4.

Dismissed as withdrawn as having become infructuous.

04.08.2020
mamta

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No