

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CRWP No.5538 of 2020

Date of Decision: 04th August, 2020

Rajdeep Kaur & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr.Amit Wadhwa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA (ORAL)

Case has been taken up through video conferencing in view of the outbreak of Pandemic COVID-19.

By way of the instant petition, the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and personal liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in the petition that the petitioners have already moved a representation (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, on 29.07.2020, in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab, at the asking of the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel for the State has no objection for the same.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage, as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, is hereby directed to look into the said representation (Annexure P-4) and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

04.08.2020.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No