

Surjeet Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Punjabi University, Patiala and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate
for petitioners.Harinder Singh Sidhu, J.

The case is taken up through video conferencing on account of COVID 2019.

Learned counsel for petitioners contends that petitioners are retired employees of University and are entitled to payment of travel concession on the pattern of Government of Punjab, but despite repeated representations including last one dated 19.2.2020 (Annexure-P-4), no decision has been taken in respect thereto till date. Learned counsel for petitioners states that petitioners would be satisfied if writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No. 2 to consider and decide representation dated 19.2.2020 (Annexure-P-4) in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for petitioners.

Without commenting upon the merits of controversy or the entitlement of the petitioners to the relief claimed, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent No. 2 (Registrar, Punjabi University, Patiala) to consider and decide representation dated 19.2.2020 (Annexure-P-4) filed by petitioners in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 6 weeks from today. In case, petitioners are found entitled to relief claimed, same be released to them within a period of 8 weeks thereafter.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

7.8.2020

sjks

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No