

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-5543-2020
Date of Decision : 04.08.2020**

Mukesh and another Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present : Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to the outbreak of Pandemic COVID-19.

By way of the instant petition, the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and personal liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in the petition that the petitioners have already moved a representation (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Jind on 30.07.2020, in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana, at the asking of

the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel for the State has no objection for the same.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage, as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Jind, is hereby directed to look into the said representation (Annexure P-4) and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of.

04.08.2020

mamta

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No