

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.12078 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:13.02.2020**

Indu Bala

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. K.P.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Counsel seeks a mandamus for directing the respondents to extend the contract as regards her engagement as Guide-cum-Clerk in the Maharaja Ranjit Singh Panorama.

The solitary contention raised by counsel is that the matter had earlier been agitated in terms of civil proceedings and which ultimately led to filing of RSA No.3309 of 2012. During the pendency of RSA a compromise is stated to have been effected and pursuant thereto the RSA had been withdrawn. It is urged that as per compromise the contractual engagement had to be extended and which has not been done till date.

Having heard counsel at length, this Court is of the considered view that no interference in the matter is warranted.

A writ of mandamus would not issue to enforce any compromise even if the same is accepted to have been entered into.

That apart there is no vested right in the petitioner to seek extension of a contractual engagement. It is not the case made out on behalf of the petitioner that while declining to extend the contractual

engagement entered into with her, the respondent authorities have chosen to replace her by another contractual arrangement.

No merit.

Dismissed.

February 13, 2020
shweta

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No