

HARVINDER SINGH
V/S
STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Petitioner

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. D.S. Pheruman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A. S. Sandhu, Addl. A. G. Punjab.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

With reference to the observations put on record in the earlier order dated 23.09.2019, the affidavit of the complainant explaining the source of his fund has been filed today; Be taken on record.

2. Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has not been cooperative during investigation as such his custodial interrogation is required.

3. Further with reference to the noting made in the earlier order dated 08.10.2018, learned counsel for the petitioner today submits that he is ready to execute the sale-deed even now, but at this stage insists on payment of the current market rate of the disputed property, which intention, however, was not indicated by him on the earlier date, in Court.

4. In such circumstances, no further indulgence needs to be granted to the petitioner, who has visibly deviated from his submission as recorded earlier.

5. For the aforesaid reasons, the prayer of anticipatory bail is rejected.

6. The present petition stands dismissed.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

14.01.2020

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No