

In virtual Court

CRM-M-21254-2020

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21254-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.08.2020

Amit Singla

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. J.S. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Baljeet Chaudhary, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.50 dated 21.01.2020 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 307, 427, 325, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station City Ballabgarh, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of complainant Rahul, he along with his brother-in-law Jai Kishan and one another relative Mohit were crossing from bus stand on 19.01.2020 and had stopped the car to take some goods from a shop. In the meantime, due to an altercation, 10-15 boys were called by the shopkeeper and they were having weapons. After damaging car of the

In virtual Court

CRM-M-21254-2020

-2-

complainant, they dragged him outside and gave beatings with iron rods and dandas. Mohit fell down on the ground and in the meantime, Akash attacked him with a knife and petitioner Amit Singla and his companions gave beatings to him and his brother-in-law with dandas and iron rods. Thereafter, the accused persons ran away from the spot, after threatening to kill the complainant party. It is further submitted that the petitioner is not attributed the injury invoking Section 307 IPC.

Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the petitioner is involved in as many as six other FIRs of similar nature. It is further submitted that the petitioner is named in the FIR and is attributed injury to the victims with danda, which he was carrying and therefore, it cannot be said that there is no attribution towards the petitioner.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, I find no ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, as he is found involved in six other FIRs and is specifically named in the FIR with an attribution of causing injury to the victim with danda.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

10.08.2020
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No