

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21371-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.8.2020

Parkash Kaur and another

.....Petitioners.

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Gursimran Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

By way of this petition filed under Section 482 Cr. P.C., the petitioners seek a direction to the police authorities of the State of Punjab to record their statements for the purpose of registering a cross-version case in relation to the injuries suffered by them at the hands of the unofficial respondents.

As this Court does not propose to go into the merits of the matter or the veracity of the claims put forth by the petitioners, there is no necessity to put the unofficial respondents on notice or afford them an opportunity of hearing.

In essence, the grievance of the petitioners is that they submitted a complaint with regard to commission of a cognizable offence but despite the same, the police authorities have not taken action thereon. Perusal of the record reflects that the petitioners submitted their complaint to the Director General of Police, Punjab and not to the jurisdictional Station House Officer.

However, Mr. Gursimran Singh Bhatia, learned counsel, would state that the aforestated complaint was forwarded to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, by the office of Director General of Police, Punjab, for necessary further action.

In the light of the law laid down by a Constitution Bench in Lalita Kumari vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others {(2014) 2 Supreme Court Cases 1}, it is not open to the police authorities to remain somnolent after receiving a complaint as to the commission of a cognizable offence. The directions of the Supreme Court in this regard read as under:

'120.1. The registration of FIR is mandatory under Section 154 of the Code, if the information discloses commission of a cognizable offence and no preliminary inquiry is permissible in such a situation.

120.2. If the information received does not disclose a cognizable offence but indicates the necessity for an inquiry, a preliminary inquiry may be conducted only to ascertain whether cognizable offence is disclosed or not.

120.3. If the inquiry discloses the commission of a cognizable offence, the FIR must be registered. In cases where preliminary inquiry ends in closing the complaint, a copy of the entry of such closure must be supplied to the first informant forthwith and not later than one week. It must disclose reasons in brief for closing the complaint and not proceeding further.

120.4. The police officer cannot avoid his duty of registering offence if cognizable offence is disclosed. Action must be taken against erring officers who do not register the FIR if information received by him discloses a cognizable offence.

120.5. The scope of preliminary inquiry is not to verify the veracity or otherwise of the information received but only to ascertain whether the information reveals any cognizable offence.

120.6. As to what type and in which cases preliminary inquiry is to be conducted will depend on the facts and circumstances of each case. The category of cases in which preliminary inquiry may be made are as under:

- a) Matrimonial disputes/ family disputes
- b) Commercial offences
- c) Medical negligence cases
- d) Corruption cases
- e) Cases where there is abnormal delay/laches in initiating criminal prosecution, for example, over 3 months delay in reporting the matter without satisfactorily explaining the reasons for delay.

The aforesaid are only illustrations and not exhaustive of all conditions which may warrant preliminary inquiry.

120.7. While ensuring and protecting the rights of the accused and the complainant, a preliminary inquiry should be made time bound and in any case it should not exceed 7 days. The fact of such delay and the causes of it must be reflected in the General Diary entry.

120.8. Since the General Diary/Station Diary/Daily Diary is the record of all information received in a police station, we direct that all information relating to cognizable offences, whether resulting in registration of FIR or leading to an inquiry, must be mandatorily and meticulously reflected in the said Diary and the decision to conduct a preliminary inquiry must also be reflected, as mentioned above.'

In the light of this authoritative edict of the Supreme Court, this petition is disposed of directing the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, and the Station House Officer, Sadar Patti, District Tarn Taran, to take appropriate action upon the complaint of the petitioners in terms of the aforesaid directions of the Supreme Court.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

21.8.2020

preeti

whether speaking/non speaking

yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

yes/no

PREETI

2020.08.21 15:19

I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document