

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

CRM-M-21351-2020

Date of decision : 15.09.2020.

Arjun

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Bijender Singh Dhankhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.450 dated 19.05.2018, under Sections 147, 148, 302, 325, 323, 324 IPC, registered at Police Station City Sirsa, district Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR wherein four co-accused have been specifically named. The petitioner has been arraigned as an accused on the statement of the father of the complainant. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner, who is 20 year of age, is in custody for 02 years and 03 months and is not involved in any other criminal case.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Bhagwan Singh, contends that the petitioner is in custody for 02 years and 03 months since his arrest on 24.05.2018. She also contends that 07 out of 21 prosecution witnesses have been examined.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner, who is 20 year of age, is in custody for 02 years and 03 months; he is not named in the FIR; he is not involved in any other criminal case; the COVID-19 pandemic, and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 15, 2020
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No