

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

CRWP-5478-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.07.2020

Pratibha and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Amandeep Chhabra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepinder Singh Brar, APP for UT Chandigarh.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Petitioners-Pratibha and Nasim, stated to be aged 24 & 26 years respectively, have jointly filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents and not to interfere in their married life.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that in this regard, a detailed representation dated 28.07.2020 (Annexure P-4) was submitted to the Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T., Chandigarh (respondent No.2) and SHO, Police Station Mauli Jagran, UT Chandigarh (respondent No.3).

While refraining from making any expression as regards the

veracity of the averments made in the petition, the petition is **disposed of** with a direction that in case, the petitioners approach the police authorities concerned, with above representation (Annexure P-4) with regard to their alleged threat perception, the same shall be got examined by the Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T., Chandigarh (respondent No.2) and SHO, Police Station Mauli Jagran, UT Chandigarh (respondent No.3), expeditiously in accordance with law and necessary steps as may be warranted under the facts and circumstances of the case, be taken thereupon forthwith.

A copy of this order be sent to the Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T., Chandigarh (respondent No.2) and SHO, Police Station Mauli Jagran, UT Chandigarh (respondent No.3), so as to enable them to do the needful expeditiously.

Needless to say that the aforesaid order shall not be construed to be an expression as regards the validity of the alleged marriage of the parties.

Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

31.07.2020

anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No