

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21264 of 2020.

Decided on:- September 11, 2020.

Dilbagh Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.*

Present:- Mr. Maninder Singh Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, D.A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

The petitioner has filed present petition under Section 482 Cr.PC so as to direct the official respondents to file the status report of FIR No.19 dated 09.02.2020 under Sections 363, 366 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Sirhali, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the official respondents have neither arrested the private respondents No.4 to 7 nor have traced the daughter of petitioner.

Pursuant to the previous order dated July 31, 2020, learned State counsel has filed status report by way of affidavit of Kuljinder Singh, PPS, DSP, Sub-Division Patti, District Tarn Taran on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, which is taken on record.

As per the status report, on the basis of statement of the petitioner-complainant, FIR No.19 dated 09.02.2020 under Sections 363, 366 and 120-B IPC was registered at Police Station Sirhali, District Tarn Taran and during the course of investigation it was found that the respondent No.4 Amarjit Singh @ Omi and the prosecutrix, namely, Anutajpreet Kaur, who is daughter of the petitioner, have jointly filed a petition i.e. **CRWP No.4680 of 2020** before this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty at the hands of present petitioner Dilbagh Singh and his family members. In the said petition, Anutajpreet Kaur and respondent No.4 have stated that they have performed marriage with each other against the wishes of her parents. Similarly, respondent No.4 and others have filed a petition i.e. CRM-M-23639 of 2020 for quashing of the aforementioned FIR registered at the behest of the petitioner on the ground that the victim Anutajpreet Kaur is 19 years of age and she had voluntarily eloped with the respondent No.4 and performed marriage with him against the wishes of her parents. The said petition was listed for hearing before this Court on 20.08.2020 and on that date, notice of motion has been issued for 02.12.2020 and it has been directed by this Court that in the meantime, no coercive steps shall be taken against the respondents No.4 to 7.

In view of the aforesaid status-report filed on behalf of the respondent-State, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

September 11, 2020

Yag Dutt

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No