

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

**CRM-M-21315-2020 (O&M)
Date of Order:16.10.2020**

Hemant Lamba

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

This petition under Section 439 read with Section 482 Cr.P.C has been filed for grant of interim regular bail to the petitioner on medical ground in a criminal case arising from FIR No.435 dated 07.12.2019, registered under Section 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1959 and Section 302/201/34 IPC (Section 34 IPC added later on), at Police Station Dharuhera, District Rewari.

On 10.08.2020, after hearing learned counsel for the parties, the following order was passed:-

“This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of the pandemic, COVID-19.

Status report by way of affidavit dated 08.08.2020 has been circulated today via e-mail and on the whatsapp group created for the purpose of video conference today. Copy thereof be filed with the Registry of this court within two days.

As per report of the Medical Officer, District Jail Hospital, Gurugram, the petitioner is a known case of dilated Cardio Myopathy with severe left Ventricular

dysfunction. It is mentioned that ejection fraction of left ventricle is 20-25%. It is further stated that the petitioner is receiving treatment from PGMIS, Rohtak and was admitted from 17.07.2020 to 24.07.2020 as well. As and when required, proper medical treatment is being afforded to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however submits that in the present case, the petitioner requires a pacemaker. However, there is no such medical opinion on record, at this stage. Learned counsel for the State to verify the requirement of a pacemaker or any other treatment which may be required, on the basis of expert opinion from PGIMS, Rohtak.

List on 20.08.2020.”

Sh. Chetan Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, has forwarded opinion of Medical Board on the official e-mail of this court, wherein it is stated that the petitioner does not require pacemaker implant at present.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present petition is disposed of.

October 16, 2020
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No