

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20429-2019

Date of Decision : 22.1.2020

Chanchal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr.Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S.MADAAN, J. (ORAL)

By way of filing the present petition, petitioner craves
for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to register the FIR
against the private respondents No.4 to 6.

However, in view of the ratio of authority **Sakiri**
Vasu Versus State of U.P. and others, 2008(1) R.C.R.(Criminal) 392,
the petitioner has remedy to approach the Magistrate under Section
156(3) Cr.P.C. for registration of FIR. The petitioner is not shown to have
approached the Court of Magistrate at the first instance.

The petitioner is relegated to the remedy to approach
the Illaqa Magistrate at the first instance in view of the law laid down in
Sakiri Vasu's case (supra).

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

22.1.2020
Brij

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No