

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.11013 of 2020
Date of decision 31.07.2020

Sandeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ritu Bahri, J. (oral)

Petitioners are seeking direction to the respondents to grant them seniority, re-fixation of pay and notional consequential benefits from the date when other similarly situated candidates joined as Constables, but were given parity of pay scales.

Pursuant to the direction given by this Court in **Hargobind Singh vs. State of Punjab and others**, CWP No.15858 of 2013, decided on 25.07.2013 (Annexure P-2), respondent No.3 has given direction to consider the claim of petitioners by re-analysis the posts belonging to SC/BC category.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners joined in the years 2013-2014, whereas other candidates were issued appointment letters in 2011-2012. A similar issue came up for consideration before this Court in **Sukhjinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others**, CWP No.14964 of 2019, decided on 09.07.2019 (Annexure P-4).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. D.S. Shukarchakia, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by giving direction to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala and respondent No.4-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, to look into the representations dated 06.01.2020 & 10.01.2020 (Annexures P-5 & P-6 respectively) and pass speaking order(s) thereon in accordance with law, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Compliance report of this order be sent to this Court.

31.07.2020
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No