

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(Proceedings conducted through video Conference)

218

CRM-M-21217-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.08.2020

DEVENDER

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate for the petitioner.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in FIR No.98 dated 01.04.2020 registered at Police Station Farrukh Nagar, Gurugram for commission of offence punishable under Section 307 read with Section 34 IPC (offence under Sections 120-B and 506 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act added later).

Heard.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana.

Counsel for the petitioner would argue that present is a case of no injury to the complainant. It is further argued that the petitioner is in custody since 01.04.2020 and he is ready to face proceedings, in accordance with law.

Counsel for State of Haryana, on the other hand, would argue that the complainant is yet to be examined in the case. He would further inform that there are two other criminal cases registered against the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner, in reply, would inform that the petitioner is not in custody in any other criminal case.

Concededly, the present is a case of no injury to complainant namely Lalit. On due investigation of FIR, challan has been presented in the Court and conclusion of trial will take its time particularly in the circumstances that there is no progress in criminal cases due to Covid-19.

There is no allegation against the petitioner that he ever misused concession of bail granted in other cases.

Without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the case, petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Additional Sessions Judge (on duty), Gurugram. However, he shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) He shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and
- (ii) He shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

Disposed of.

13.08.2020

ashok

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No