

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.112

CWP No.11033 of 2020

DATE OF DECISION: November 16, 2020

PARVEEN SHARMA AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Jagdeep Jaswal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Jain, Senior Standing Counsel with
Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 & 2.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The issue in this case is regarding the age of superannuation of Group D employees. The petitioners are employed as Peons and according to them their age of superannuation is 60 years whereas respondent No.2 is retiring them at the age of 58 years.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he shall be satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the pending representations within a limited time frame.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 and waives service.

CWP No.11033 of 2020

Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3 and waives service. They have no objection in case the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the pending representations dated 29.01.2018 (Annexure P-8) and dated 12.06.2019 (Annexure P-9).

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the aforementioned representations within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. An opportunity of hearing shall also be granted before deciding the same.

November 16, 2020

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No