

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SAILESH RANJAN
2020.08.01 14:19
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP No. 10965 of 2020
Date of decision: 30.07.2020

106

Bhalle Ram

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

(Proceedings are conducted through video
conferencing as per instructions).

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to pay compensation of Rs.15,00,000/- to the petitioner in view of the false implication in FIR No. 103 dated 06.03.2019 under Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and Section 186, 34, 506 IPC, P.S. Rai, District Sonapat and for causing damages to reputation of self and family members. It is averred that since police after investigation found the case false and filed cancellation report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. Dated 20.06.2019 (Annexure P-1). Further, a prayer has been made to lodge FIR initiating proceedings of offence of criminal defamation under Sections 499 and 500 IPC against respondent Joginder Singh as accused.

After arguing for some time, counsel is not in a position to inform whether the cancellation report is pending before the Court and to press the above said prayer as apparently there are alternative remedies

available to him on account of the fact that disputed questions of quantification arise. Even otherwise, a perusal of the second prayer would go on to show that it is not even maintainable since a criminal complaint has to be filed for the offences of defamation and the prayer is apparently frivolous and misconceived on the fact of it.

Faced with this situation, counsel does not press the present petition and prays for withdrawal of the same, which is apparently frivolous and misconceived on the face of it.

Ordered accordingly.

30.07.2020
shivani

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No