

112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-10947-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.07.2020

Prithvi Pal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ashok Kumar Verma

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through Video Conferencing.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that Respondent No.3 had passed an order on 04.03.2020, declaring the Gram Panchayat as owner of the land in question and directed the petitioner to pay compensation for its use and occupation. It is submitted that the order dated 04.03.2020 has already been challenged by way of an appeal before respondent No.2 but neither the appeal nor the application for stay is being heard.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Ms. Monica Chibber Sharma, Senior DAG, Punjab has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and has submitted that respondent No.2 shall pass the appropriate order if this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to do so in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not raised any objection in this regard.

Consequently, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, seized of the appeal filed by the petitioner, to decide the same or at least the application for stay, if any, filed

along with memorandum of appeal, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, after affording an opportunity of hearing, through video conferencing or otherwise and by passing a speaking order.

[RAKESH KUMAR JAIN]
JUDGE

[ASHOK KUMAR VERMA]
JUDGE

30.07.2020
hemlata

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No