

CWP-10878-2020

Date of decision: 30.07.2020

H.C.RAVINDER

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. G.S.Gopera, Advocate
for the petitioner.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 Pandemic)*

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that he was enrolled as constable on 09.08.2011. He was discharged from service under rule 12.21 PPR. In consequence of the orders passed by this Court, the petitioner was reinstated and subsequently, the arrears have also been paid to him.

It has been pointed out that the arrears were delayed as SI Mohan was demanding illegal gratification from him. He has also served the legal notice on 12.06.2020 (Annexure P-9) upon the respondents and seeks to initiate action against SI Mohan.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and states that the matter has already been inquired prior to the submission of the representation and the allegations against SI Mohan have been found to be baseless. However, he states that appropriate order on the aforesaid legal notice dated 12.06.2020 (Annexure P-9) shall be passed by the concerned authority of the respondents within a period of 02 months.

Without making any further observations with regard to the

genuineness of the allegations and merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents that the appropriate order on the aforesaid legal notice dated 12.06.2020 (Annexure P-9) be passed within a period of 02 months.

30.07.2020
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No

to look into the grievance of the petitioner as projected through the representation
(Annexure P-9) in accordance with law.