

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.5360 of 2020

Date of decision : July 29, 2020

Sonia and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

(IN VIRTUAL COURT)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Anil Kumar Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6, not to harass or interfere in the peaceful married life of the petitioners.

The counsel for the petitioners has submitted that he has verified the identity of the petitioners.

The petitioners seek protection of their life and liberty by contending that both of them having attained the age of majority, have married each other against the wishes of their respective family members/ respondents No.4 to 6 and so seek appropriate protection from the authorities. They claim to have submitted a representation (Annexure P-5) in this regard to the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Gohana, Sonapat on 24.07.2020, but are still apprehensive about their safety and security in view

of the alleged inaction of the police and the alleged clout of their family members/respondents.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Both of them do appear to have crossed the age of majority as seen from the copies of documents filed and claim to have married each other, in support of which photographs (Annexure P-4) have been placed on record.

For the aforesaid reasons, this appears to be a fit case for this Court to invoke the writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to ensure the right to life and liberty as guaranteed to them by Article 21 of the Constitution.

Thus, the Superintendent of Police, Sonapat is directed to consider the representation dated 24.07.2020 (Annexure-P-5) and take appropriate steps to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners. It is nevertheless clarified that this order is issued only on the premise that the petitioners have crossed the age of majority; as seen from the documents placed on record; being Aadhaar Cards of petitioners No.1 & 2 (Annexures P-1 & P-2 respectively). The petitioners have produced on record a copy of alleged marriage certificate (Annexure P-3). However, this order would not ipso facto amount to granting any seal of approval on the legality of their marriage, which essentially would come in the domain of the concerned Matrimonial Courts. Further, they would not be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any

criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

The petition is disposed of with the above direction.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

July 29, 2020

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable: Yes / No