

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 5409 of 2020

Date of Decision: 30th July, 2020

Kunika and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Pinky, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to COVID-19 situation.

2. The petitioners have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty. It is pleaded that petitioner No.1 and petitioner No.2 have performed marriage against the wishes of parents and family members of petitioner No.1 and are apprehending threat to their life and liberty.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Rajiv Vij, APP for U.T. Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3.

5. Learned counsel appearing for respondents No. 1 to 3 states that the IO on receiving the representation dated 20.07.2020 had gone to the given address and it was found that the petitioners are not residing at the given address.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that presently the petitioners are residing at H. No. 183 Dadu Majra, Sector 38 West.

7. Learned counsel appearing for respondents No. 1 to 3 submits that the representation will be looked into and if any threat perception is found, the needful would be done.

8. Heard.

9. Without examining the question of validity of marriage of the petitioners, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh to look into grievance of the petitioners expressed in the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action, if so, warranted.

30th July, 2020
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No