

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.20934 2020

Date of Decision: 08.09.2020

Ashish

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Lather, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.31 dated 03.02.2019 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 452, 506 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Sadar, Jind, District Jind.

On 29.07.2020, following order was passed:-

“The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has not inflicted any such injury which

ultimately resulted in amputation of hand of Vinod. Petitioner and coaccused Amit jointly filed petition for anticipatory bail before the Court of Sessions. Prayer was rejected on the ground that as per CCTV footage, accused were seen inflicting injuries on the person of Vinod in which hand of Vinod was amputated and gandasi has to be recovered from the accused persons. Coaccused Amit has been granted benefit of anticipatory bail vide order dated 14.01.2020 passed in CRM-M No.52194 of 2019.

Notice of motion for 08.09.2020.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Anant Kataria, D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana.

Learned State counsel on instructions from HC Mohinder Singh states that as per police, role of the petitioner can only be ascertained on his joining investigation.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 02.08.2020 at 11.00 a.m. and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on interim bail, subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

It is made clear that grant of interim bail would not weigh in favour of the petitioner while deciding the case on merits.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from HC

Mohinder Singh states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for further investigation in the case.

In view of above, order dated 29.07.2020 is made absolute. However, petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and he shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

08.09.2020
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No