

234
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10946 of 2020
Date of decision: 10.11.2020

Neeru Yadav

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s.

State of Haryana and another

Respondent(s)

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. Pradeep Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Minderjeet Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Sanjay Kumar, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks appointment to the post of Post Graduate Teacher (Home Science) in general category. She seeks such appointment pursuant to Advertisement No.04/2015 dated 28.06.2015. Her claim is based on the fact that a revised result was declared on 02.08.2017 in relation to the Haryana Teacher Eligibility Test which was held on 18.06.2016, wherein she was declared qualified.

Though notice of motion was ordered in this writ petition as long back as on 30.07.2020, the Haryana Staff Selection Commission, the second respondent herein, has not filed its written statement till date.

Mr. Pradeep Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner, would state that an identically situated candidate filed *CWP No.22964 of 2017* before

this Court and the said writ petition was disposed of on 26.10.2017 directing the Commission to take a decision in the matter. Learned counsel would pray that similar relief be granted to the petitioner also.

As it is for the respondent-Commission to consider the claim of the petitioner and take a decision as to her eligibility to be appointed to the subject post, no purpose is served in keeping this matter pending or adjourning it once again to await the reply of the respondent- Commission.

The petitioner is accordingly permitted to make a representation to the respondent-Commission setting out the details of her claim duly supported by necessary documentation. The respondent-Commission shall thereupon consider the said representation on its own merits and in accordance with law and decide as to whether the petitioner comes within the zone of consideration for appointment. If so, necessary steps shall be taken by the respondent-Commission to recommend her name to the State Government for appointment. This exercise shall be completed expeditiously and in any event, within four weeks from the date of receipt of the petitioner's representation. In the event, the petitioner's claim is rejected or she does not come within the zone of consideration, the respondent-Commission shall communicate its reasoned decision in that regard to her.

The writ petition is disposed of with the above directions.

No order as to costs.

10.11.2020

rakesh

whether speaking/non speaking

:

Yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

:

Yes/no

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE