

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CWP No.10803 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.07.2020

Dara Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

**Financial Commissioner-cum-Principal Secretary, Department of
Revenue, Haryana, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh and others**

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

*(the aforesaid presence is being recorded through video
conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in Virtual Court).*

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner – Dara Singh has filed the present writ petition *inter alia* with a prayer for quashing of the revisional proceedings as well as order dated 21.08.2019 (Annexure P-10) passed by the Financial Commissioner, Haryana-respondent No.1 in view of judgment dated 10.04.2019 passed in CWP No. 11457 of 2014 as well as judgment passed in LPA No.1236 of 2019 on 27.08.2019, with a further prayer to direct the respondents to uphold the partition proceedings.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Minderjeet Yadav, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State.

The short grievance made by the petitioner is that on 21.08.2019, the Financial Commissioner, Haryana, had entertained the revision petition titled as 'Charan Dass and others Vs. Dara Singh and Ors'. The Court of

Financial Commissioner, also ordered that parties are directed to maintain status quo till the next date of hearing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is respondent No.3 in that revision petition. Accordingly, on 12.09.2019 itself, application with *inter alia* prayer for vacation of stay was filed. He further submits that till date, the said application has not been decided and the next date is 07.10.2020.

Considering the short grievance made by the petitioner, this Court deems it appropriate to disposed of the present writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1-Financial Commissioner Revenue, Haryana, to consider and decide the application for vacation of stay, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably with a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Ordered accordingly.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

29.07.2020

jyoti3

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No