

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20627-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision:04.09.2020

Krishan Pal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Mahipal S. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

CRM-20830-2020:

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Application is allowed as prayed for. The accompanying statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. is taken on record as Annexure P-5.

Application is disposed of

Main case:

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.1147, dated 28.11.2019, under Section 4 of the POCSO Act, 2012, Sections 342/120-B IPC and Section 67 of the Information and Technology Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Gurugram.

Having argued the matter at some length, counsel seeks withdrawal of the petition.

As per submission made by counsel, petition stands dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

It is observed that the trial Court would make an earnest endeavour to have the complainant/victim examined expeditiously.

It would be open for the petitioner to seek regular bail after recording of the deposition of the complainant/victim.

04.09.2020

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |