

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

105

CRWP-5298-2020

Date of Decision:28.07.2020

Manisha Yadav and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Chandigarh(UT) and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

Mr. Lalit Kumar Gupta, APP, for UT, Chandigarh.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in this criminal writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. However, the private respondents are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means. Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, petitioner No.1 has moved a representation

dated 23.07.2020(Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent

of Police, Chandigarh, Chandigarh Police Headquarter, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Lalit Kumar Gupta, APP, for UT, Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

The petitioner No.2-Kishan is below 21 years of age. Since the limited prayer is to grant protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, there is no impediment to consider the claim of the petitioners.

Without going into the validity of the marriage of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 –Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, Chandigarh Police Headquarter, Sector-9, Chandigarh to take appropriate remedial measures on the representation dated 23.07.2020 (Annexure P-5) submitted by petitioner No.1 as warranted by law.

In the meantime, necessary order be passed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

July 28, 2020
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No