

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5312-2020

Date of decision:-28.7.2020

Sunita and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Vikram Singh Narwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

It is stated that petitioner No.1 – Sunita, aged about 22 years has got married with petitioner No.2 - Gurmer aged about 23 years against wishes of her family members arrayed as respondents No.4 to 8, who are threatening to interfere in their marital life, as such to seek protection in that regard, they have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition.

Of course nobody can be allowed to take law into his hands and to indulge in illegal activities.

Notice of motion to respondent -State.

Mr.Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf

of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Panchkula to look into the grievances of the petitioners and to take necessary measures to ensure that lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of private respondents or persons claiming under them.

However, this order shall not validate the marriage between the petitioners and shall not have any effect on any civil or criminal action to which the petitioners may be found liable, under the law.

28.7.2020

Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No