

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27487-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.10.2020

Kuldeep Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S.Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case has been heard through video conferencing on account of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.182 dated
5.7.2018 registered under Sections 353, 186, 323, 34 IPC at Police Station
City Jagraon, District Ludhiana on the ground of compromise (Annexure P-
2).

The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the
complainant/respondent No.2-Harbans Singh.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaqa
Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned
parties with regard to genuineness and validity of the compromise vide
order dated 11.9.2020.

Report has been received from Sub Divisional Judicial

Magistrate, Jagraon, in terms of which complainant Harbans Singh and accused, namely, Kuldeep Singh and Rinku @ Buta Singh had appeared there and their statements were recorded, in which they have admitted to have entered into a voluntary compromise, without any pressure, coercion, undue influence and inducement from any quarter. Further, the complainant has stated that he has no objection if the FIR in question is quashed by this Court. There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise so arrived at between the parties. Along with the report statement of the complainant and both the accused, have been annexed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties besides going through the record.

It is proved on record that the parties have amicably settled their dispute by means of compromise Annexure P-2.

Counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement of dispute between the parties, the criminal prosecution is liable to be quashed as per compromise Annexure P-2.

Since the aforesaid compromise is in the welfare and interest of the parties, so, to my mind, there is no impediment in translating their wishes into reality and quash the criminal prosecution to set the matter at rest to enable them to live in peace and enjoy the life and liberty in a dignified manner.

For the reasons aforesated and having regard to the principles laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3)

RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and the above said FIR along with ancillary proceedings is hereby quashed.

Needless to mention that both the parties will abide by the terms and conditions of the compromise Annexure P-2 in letter and spirit.

(**KARAMJIT SINGH**)
JUDGE

October 29, 2020
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No